USSL Risk Assessment

	Risk Assessment No	E7		Activity		Fum	es, Dust & N	Noise	Locations	Office, S	Site Works,	Yard, Depot	Gararge
Assessr	ment Undertaken by M. W.	Assessment Date			Jun-17 Risk Ratings			Review Date	1/6/18				
	Receptors at Risk (RAR)		Imp	pact Rating		NISK Natility	5		Likelih	ood (L)			
С	Controlled Waters	1	No Hazard – No Environmental Impact				1	Not Likely – No History of an event ever happening					
L	Land	2	Slight Hazard – Low Environmental Impat Little impact to the environment easily rectified no need for specialist clean up, a or no danger to the health of humans or wildlife				2	Possible – Documented history of the event happening in the organisation within the last 12 months					
А	Air	3	Medium Hazard – Moderate Environmer Impact. Some impact to the environmer easily rectified without the need for spec clean up. No danger to human health midanger to wildlife.				3	Likely – Documented history of the event happening in the organisation at least monthly					
F	Flora / Fauna	4	Quite Hazardous – Moderate to High Environmental Impact. Possibly requiring specialist clean up. Danger of non-life threatening health affects to human or wildlife, or short term effects on the environment				4	Very Likely – Documented history of the event happening in the organisation at lease weekly					
Н	Human Health	5	Very Hazardous - High Impact Specialist clean up necessary. Potentially life threatening or life altering to humans or wildlife, or significant long term effects on the environment.						of the event occurring.				
				urther cont		olerance rat es are to be		to reduce ri	sk. When in place the I	evel of risk	should be re	e-assessed f	or
adequacy before the work recommences.												res or hy	
7-14 SIGNIFICANT RISK is attached to this task/activity. Look critically at ways of reducing the level of risk to a more tolerable level by improving existing control measures or by introducing new controls.												es of by	
1	TOLERABLE RISK - C task/activity, but with ca		sk is "as low	as reasona	bly practica	ible", If not tr	y to reduce	risk further	by improving control me	asures. Ke	ep under re	view and co	ntinue with
	1-:4:-1 0	ilised as a	matter of course during any works undertaken Risk Mitigation Measures										
Item No	Initial Assessme	RAR					Control Measures			S	L	Revised	Risk
item 140	Tiazara identification	NAN	3		Score	Any require			onitoring throughout		_	Score	Acceptable
1	Dust production by Cutting, Drilling, Vehicle Movements in dry conditions	Α	4	4	16	the project must be identified prior to the commencement of any works. All activities which may cause air pollution should be highlighted and specific risk assessments and safe systems of works should be prepared. All operations are to be carefully planned and managed to ensure that impacts are kept to a minimum. All plant and equipment will be chosen and serviced regularly to minimise emissions. Where air monitoring is carried out, all records will be retained for a minimum period of 12 years. All permanent and temporary employees, including subcontractors and suppliers, will be made aware of their responsibilities to ensure that no air pollution incidents occur. In the event of an air pollution incident, the Emergency Control Procedures outlined below will be followed.				4	2	8	Yes
2	Noise from Plant and Equipment	A.F,H	4	4	16	Emergency In the even operations then dampi shall be us also be use appropriate if the proble wheel-was! Where dus applied acr Where dus shall be ap be sheeted Care shall being appli causing a f	ergency Control Procedures he event that excessive dust is arising from rations on site due to plant or traffic movements, in damping down of the roads and surrounding area all be used to control the dust. Road sweepers shall be be used to keep roads clean and tidy where propriate. The problem persists it may be necessary to install bel-washing systems. The dust is arising from excavations, water shall be belied across the working area. The dust is arising from stockpiles of materials, water all be applied to the stockpile, or the stockpiles should sheeted. The shall be taken in both instances where water is go applied to the soil to prevent excessive run-off using a further pollution incident, or a safety hazard			4	1	4	Yes
3	Fume Production from Plant, Equipment and Vehicles. Gases from purging operations.	A,F,H	4	4	16	due to the weakening of the ground. If any item of plant is releasing excessive emissions through its exhaust, it should be turned off, returned to the hire firm and replaced with better quality plant. Where emissions are becoming a problem during cutting the method of working will be changed to use damping or extractive techniques. Should any excessive odours arise from storage areas including fuel, chemicals and waste the cause should be investigated and changes made to storage arrangements. Waste must be regularly collected and removed from site to prevent odour emissions. In the event that a serious environmental incident occurs, contact the company's Environmental Advisor and advise the Environment Agency using the 24 hour Emergency line - 0800 80 70 60				4	1	4	Yes